

Downloaded from jabs.fums.ac.ir on 2025-07-03

Homepage: http://jabs.fums.ac.ir Online ISSN: 2783-1523



# Concordance of HER2 Status between Core Needle Biopsy and Surgical Resected Specimens of Breast Cancer: A Narrative Review

# Maghbool Maryam<sup>®</sup>, Samizadeh Babak²¤®

Department of Pathology, Clinical Research Development Unit of Valiasr Hospital, Fasa University of Medical Sciences, Fasa, Iran

# Article Info

Article Type: Review Article

# Article History:

Received 29 Sep 2023 Received in revised form 01 Nov 2023 Accepted 06 Nov 2023 Published online 07 Oct 2023

# Publisher:

Fasa University of Medical Sciences

# Abstract

Background & Objective: HER2, a molecular biomarker, is routinely evaluated in breast cancer patients to guide therapeutic decisions. However, the concordance of HER2 status between coreneedle biopsy (CNB) and surgical specimen (SS) samples is not always high, potentially affecting the accuracy of diagnosis and treatment. This study aims to review recent studies assessing the agreement of HER2 status between CNB and SS samples in breast cancer patients.
Materials & Methods: A literature search was conducted in PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar databases from January 2018 to August 2023 using the keywords: concordance, core needle biopsy, resection, HER2 status. Ten articles meeting the inclusion criteria were selected for this review.
Results: The results demonstrated variable concordance rates of HER2 status between CNB and SS samples, ranging from 83.3% to 99.5%. The primary factors influencing discordance were tumor heterogeneity, preoperative treatment, sampling error, and differing testing methods. Discordance was more prevalent in HER2-negative and HER2-low tumors compared to HER2-positive tumors.
Conclusion: The concordance of HER2 status between CNB and SS samples is generally high but not perfect. Therefore, retesting HER2 status on SS samples is recommended to ensure optimal treatment decisions for breast cancer patients.

Keywords: Core needle biopsy, Surgical specimen, Concordance, HER2

**Cite this article:** Maghbool M, Samizadeh B. Concordance of HER2 Status between Core Needle Biopsy and Surgical Resected Specimens of Breast Cancer: A Narrative Review. JABS.2023; 13(2): 265-270. **DOI:** 10.18502/jabs.v13i4.13902

# **Introduction**

Cancer, a leading cause of mortality worldwide, ranks among the most prevalent cancers globally, with a rising incidence (1, 2). Accurate diagnostic testing is crucial for effective breast cancer management. Core-needle biopsy (CNB)

☐ Corresponding Author: Samizadeh Babak, Department of Pathology, Clinical Research Development Unit of Valiasr Hospital, Fasa University of Medical Sciences, Fasa, Iran Email:bsamizadeh8@gmail.com stands as the gold standard for breast cancer diagnosis (3). This technique facilitates highprecision pathological evaluation of adequate samples, aiding in preoperative treatment planning. Upon biopsy confirmation of malignancy, cancer typing and receptor studies can be readily conducted (4). Molecular biomarkers, including estrogen receptors (ERs), progesterone receptors (PRs), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2

DOR: 20.1001.1.22285105.2023.13.4.7.4





(HER2), are routinely assessed in the clinical management of invasive breast carcinoma patients. These receptors serve as targets for breast cancer therapies beyond systemic chemotherapy, including anti-HER2 therapy for HER2-positive patients. Accurate HER2 analysis is therefore essential (5, 6). The concordance of biomarker status between CNB and the surgical specimen (SS) has emerged as a vexing issue for pathologists and clinicians, particularly for HER2, which exhibits the lowest concordance rate (2). This discordance can have significant implications for treatment decisions (2). Evaluating HER2 status concordance between CNB and SS specimens and identifying influencing factors is therefore paramount. In this narrative review, we aim to synthesize the current literature on this topic and provide recommendations for clinical practice and future research.

# **Materials and Methods**

This review conducted a comprehensive search across three databases (PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar) from January 2018 to August 2023 to identify relevant articles investigating the concordance of HER2 status between core-needle biopsy (CNB) and resected surgical specimens (SS) in breast cancer patients. The keywords employed for this search were: concordance, core needle biopsy, resection, HER2 status. The retrieved articles were meticulously screened for both relevance and quality. Articles with low quality (e.g., those published in journals with low credibility) were excluded, resulting in the selection of ten articles for this review.

# **Discussion**

A comprehensive study by Yujie Lu et al., encompassing 5610 cases of early-stage breast cancer treated at the Comprehensive Breast Health Center, Ruijin Hospital in China between January 2009 and March 2022, stands as one of the largest investigations into the concordance of CNB with SS



#### Samizadeh B, et al.

in HER2 status. All patients underwent both CNB and Excisional (EB) biopsies. The study revealed that 3209 (57.2%) of CNB and 3320 (59.2%) of EB cases exhibited HER2-Low status (1+ or 2+ IHC and FISH-negative). Notably, among 1066 HER2 Zero cases in CNB, 530 were classified as HER2-Low tumors. Conversely, 387 out of 3209 patients with HER2-Low tumors in CNB were HER2 Zero in EB, indicating a relatively low concordance (discordance rate of 23.13%) between CNB and EB in HER2-Negative breast cancer. These findings underscore the importance of EB examination in identifying patients who may benefit from anti-HER2 antibody-drug conjugates (7). Another large study conducted by Pölcher et al. retrospectively analyzed paired CNB and SS samples from patients with primary breast carcinoma treated in Germany over a three-year period. The study included 1307 paired specimens devoid of preoperative treatment. Concordance rates for ER, PR, Her2neu, and Ki67 status exhibited substantial to near-perfect agreement ( $\kappa = 0.91, 0.75, 0.89$ , and 0.61, respectively). While the concordance rates for individual markers were impressive, a significant proportion of molecular subtypes differed between CNB and SS, highlighting the mandatory nature of retesting markers to ensure optimal treatment decisions (8).

Slostad et al. conducted a retrospective analysis of patients with histologically confirmed invasive breast cancer who underwent CNB and SS pathology at their institution in the USA between January 2010 and May 2020. Among the 961 patients in their cohort, 259 (26.95%) exhibited complete concordance between CNB and SS for ER, PR, and HER2 IHC. Concordance, minor discordance, total concordance (concordance plus minor discordance), and major discordance between CNB and SS were reported for HER2 IHC (52.5%, 20.9%, 73.4%, and 26.6%), respectively. Discordance was more prevalent in PR and HER2 IHC. Their study suggests that retesting ER and HER2 can be clinically beneficial (9).



Robertson et al. conducted a retrospective

study involving two cohorts of patients with

primary breast cancer diagnosed between 2016

and 2017 in Sweden: one group underwent

primary surgery (n = 526) and the other received

neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) (n = 216).

The agreement between preoperative CNB and

paired tumor specimens regarding biomarker

assessment was evaluated in both cohorts. In the

primary surgery cohort, HER2 IHC assessment

demonstrated only moderate agreement ( $\kappa =$ 

0.462). HER2 status, combining IHC and in situ

hybridization, was discordant in 3.6% of cases,

potentially influencing HER2-targeted therapy

decisions. Generally lower concordance rates for

HER2 were observed in the NAC cohort, where

surgery cohort, 6 equivocal cases (IHC 2+)

with identical IHC scores between CNB and

surgical specimen exhibited HER2 amplification

only on the surgical specimen. This highlights

the importance of retesting HER2, even for

equivocal cases with a negative ISH on CNB, as

HER2 status guides clinical treatment decisions.

In the NAC cohort, the agreement of HER2

status between pre-NAC CNB and post-NAC

histopathology was only moderate for HER2

IHC assignment. Of clinical interest, 10 tumors

were HER2-positive on CNB but lost either

HER2 expression or gene amplification after

NAC. Conversely, one tumor assessed as HER2-

negative on CNB had a positive HER2 status

after NAC. Robertson et al. concluded that the

concordance of HER2 and Ki67 between CNB

and paired surgical specimens in primary breast

cancer is inadequate, indicating a significant clinical value of biomarker retesting on surgical

Karaman et al. sought to compare the ER, PR,

HER2, and silver in situ hybridization (SISH)

results of CNB specimens and SS of their breast

carcinoma cases in Turkey. They selected 97

cases with both CNB and SS, diagnosed with

Among the discordant cases in the primary

HER2 status was discordant in 7.4%.

specimens.



#### Concordance of HER2 between CNB and SS

breast cancer at their center between 2017 and 2018. The correlation between CNB and SS in terms of HER2 was significant ( $\chi 2 = 74.19$ , P < 0.000;  $\kappa = 0.54$ , P < 0.000; and Spearman's Rho = 0.64, P < 0.000). HER2 status in CNB was positive in 15 (15.5%) patients, negative in 52 (53.6%), and "weak" in 20 (20.6%), while in SS, HER2 was positive in 13 patients (13.4%), negative in 69 (71.1%), and weak in 11 (11.3%). HER2 assessment had a sensitivity of 100% and a specificity of 73.4%. The authors concluded that if HER2 needle biopsy results are negative, assessments should be repeated in SS (11).

Verma et al. aimed to determine the concordance of CNB and SS for molecular profiling and to observe any changes following neoadjuvant chemotherapy. They conducted a cross-sectional study over one year, involving 95 cases in India. A total of 7 (7%) cases were HER2-positive on CNB and 8 (8%) on mastectomy, respectively. No change in HER2 status was observed after neoadjuvant therapy. The agreement of hormone receptor status between CNB and subsequent mastectomy was substantial (kappa values for ER, PR, and HER2neu were 0.608, 0.648, and 0.648, respectively). The study demonstrated that IHC is a cost-effective method for assessing hormone receptor expression. The authors concluded that ER, PR, and HER2 expression in CNB should be reassessed in excision specimens for optimal endocrine therapy management (12).

Damodaran et al. conducted a prospective study to assess the concordance between CNB and SS for ER, PR, and Her2 receptor status in breast carcinoma and its implications on treatment decisions. They enrolled 90 consecutive treatment-naïve operable breast cancer patients treated between September 2015 and April 2017 in India. All patients underwent core needle biopsy prior to definitive surgery. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) studies for ER, PR, and Her2 receptor assay were performed on both the CNB specimen and SS. The concordances





In two studies, CNB and SS biomarker assessment revealed high levels of concordance. One study, conducted by Shanmugalingam et al. in Australia, retrospectively collected histopathological biomarker information from preoperative CNB and SS on patients diagnosed with breast cancer through the Breast Screen Sydney West program over a four-year period between January 2017 and December 2020. Data were then analyzed to calculate the percentage of agreement and concordance using kappa values for ER, PR, HER2, tumor grade, and Ki-67. A total of 504 cases of invasive breast cancers were analyzed. Substantial concordance was observed for ER (96.7%;  $\kappa = 0.687$ ) and PR (93.2%;  $\kappa =$ 0.69). Concordance for HER2-negative (IHC 0, IHC 1+) or HER2-positive (IHC 3+) tumors on CNB was 100% ( $\kappa = 1.00$ ). Grade and Ki-67 showed moderate concordance, 72.6% ( $\kappa =$ (0.545) and  $(\kappa = 0.453)$ , respectively. The authors concluded that ER, PR, and HER2 exhibit high concordance, indicating that CNB is reliable in determining histopathological biomarkers for ER, PR-positive, and HER2-positive or -negative tumors. Therefore, retesting these biomarkers on SS may not be necessary (14).



#### Samizadeh B, et al.

Another study with a high concordance rate was conducted by Saghir et al. in Sweden, aiming to determine the concordance between biomarker status assessed as part of clinical workup on a CNB compared to a medically untreated surgical specimen. Paired CNB and surgical specimens from 259 patients that were part of the SCAN-B cohort were investigated. The concordance between immunohistochemical (IHC) -based biomarker status was examined. Biomarkers of interest included ER (specifically, the alpha variant), PR, Ki67, HER2, and tumor molecular subtype. In general, moderate to very good correlation in biomarker status between the paired CNB and surgical specimens was observed for IHC assessment (83-99% agreement, kappa range 0.474-0.917)(15).

In a single case study from Portugal, Vasques et al. presented a 33-year-old man with right breast cancer in April 2016, staged as cT4N1M0, HER2 2+ from IHC technique that was negative with silver in situ hybridization (SISH) technique. He received chemotherapy without anti-HER2 antibody-drug conjugates. In November 2016, due to the presence of lumbar metastasis, an oligometastatic approach and a modified radical right mastectomy were performed. HER2 in this specimen (post-chemotherapy) was positive using SISH. The authors commented that negative biomarkers in CNB should be repeated in the SS, particularly in patients who undergo neoadjuvant chemotherapy (6).

As discussed in the reviewed articles, varying results of concordance between biomarker status have been observed, with HER2 exhibiting the least concordance among these markers. This discrepancy can be attributed to various factors, including technical issues with the antibody kit used, the preparation, fixation, and staining process, interpretation protocol and interpreter experience, as well as tumor heterogeneity and the quality of the sample taken by the clinician during the biopsy. Since evaluating the status of



Downloaded from jabs.fums.ac.ir on 2025-07-03



this marker is crucial for determining the molecular profile and utilizing drugs like Herceptin, it is recommended to repeat the molecular biomarker results in surgical samples. In case of any discrepancy in the results, both biopsy and surgical samples should be reviewed again. If necessary, the staining and interpretation process should be re-performed on both samples to ensure the most accurate treatment plan for the patient and prevent tumor spread or recurrence.

# **Conclusion**

This study underscores the significance of assessing HER2 biomarker status in breast cancer patients, as it influences the molecular profile and treatment options. It also acknowledges the factors that can contribute to discrepancies between biopsy and surgical sample results, such as technical issues, interpretation errors, tumor heterogeneity, and sample quality. We advocate for repeating the tests and reviewing the samples in case of any inconsistency to ensure optimal treatment outcomes and prevent tumor progression. Additionally, we emphasize the importance of retesting HER2 status in resection specimens, particularly for equivocal or negative cases on CNB, as it could impact the molecular profile and treatment decisions for breast cancer patients. This review further highlights that neoadjuvant chemotherapy may alter HER2 status and affect the concordance between CNB and resection samples.

# **Acknowledgments**

The authors express their gratitude to the Clinical Research Development Unit at Valiasr Hospital, Fasa University of Medical Sciences.

# **Conflict of interest**

None.

# **List of abbreviations**

CNB: Core needle biopsy EB: Excisional biopsy

#### Concordance of HER2 between CNB and SS

ER: Estrogen receptor

FISH: Fluorescent in situ hybridization HER2: Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 IHC: Immunohistochemistry NAC: Neoadjuvant chemotherapy PR: Progesterone receptor SISH: Silver insitu hybridization SS: Surgical specimen

# **Funding**

None.

# **Ethical Considerations**

This article is written in compliance with all the ethical considerations.

# Author's Contributions

All the authors have collaborated in collecting data and writing the article.

# **References**

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin.2021;71:209-49.
- 2.Vasques AC, Miranda Baleiras M, Pinto M, Ferreira F, Martins A. Her2 Status Discrepancy Between Core Needle Biopsy and Surgically Resected Mastectomy Specimen: A Clinical Case. Cureus. 2023;15(1):e33501.
- 3.Ough M, Velasco J, Hieken TJ. A comparative analysis of core needle biopsy and final excision for breast cancer: Histology and marker expression. Am J Surg. 2011;201: 692-4.
- 4.Radhakrishna S, Gayathri A, Chegu D. Needle core biopsy for breast lesions: An audit of 467 needle core biopsies. Indian J Med Paediatr Oncol. 2013;34:252-6.
- 5.Allred DC. Issues and updates: Evaluating estrogen receptor-alpha, progesterone receptor, and HER2 in breast cancer. Mod Pathol. 2010;23(2): S52-9.
- 6.Hanna W, Kahn HJ, Trudeau M. Evaluation of HER-2/neu (erbB-2) status in breast cancer: From bench to bedside. Mod Pathol. 1999;12: 827-34.
- 7.Lu Y, Zhu S, Tong Y, Fei X, Jiang W, Shen K, et al. HER2-Low Status Is Not Accurate in Breast CancerCore Needle Biopsy Samples: An Analysis of 5610 Consecutive Patients. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14(24): 6200.





- 8.Pölcher M, Braun M, Tischitz M, Hamann M, Szeterlak N, Kriegmair A, et al. Concordance of the molecular subtype classification between core needle biopsy and surgical specimen in primary breast cancer. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics. 2021;304(3):783-90.
- 9.Slostad JA, Yun NK, Schad AE, Warrior S, Fogg LF, Rao R. Concordance of breast cancer biomarker testing in core needle biopsy and surgical specimens: A single institution experience. Cancer Med. 2022;11(24):4954-65.
- 10.Robertson S, Rönnlund C, de Boniface J, Hartman J. Re-testing of predictive biomarkers on surgical breast cancer specimens is clinically relevant. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2019;174(3):795-805.
- 11.Karaman H, Senel F, Tasdemir A, Özer I, Dogan M. A single centre experience in Turkey for comparison between core needle biopsy and surgical specimen evaluation results for HER2, SISH, estrogen receptors and progesterone receptors in breast cancer patients. J Cancer Res Ther. 2022;18(6):1789-95.
- 12. Verma P, Sharma N, Gulati A, Sharma R, Parashar A, Kaundal A. Comparison of the molecular profiling

of core biopsy with surgical specimens in breast cancers and the effect of neoadjuvant therapy on the same - A North Indian study. J Cancer Res Ther. 2023;19(2):198-202.

- 13.Damodaran D, Naidu BK, Varghese JC, Rajan P, Kuruvilla R, Kuruvilla S, et al. A Prospective Study on Level of Concordance Between Core Needle Biopsy and Surgical Specimen for Assessing Oestrogen Receptor, Progesterone Receptor, and Her2/Neu Receptor Status in Carcinoma Breast and Its Implications on Treatment Decisions. Indian J Surg Oncol. 2020;11(3):446-50.
- 14.Shanmugalingam A, Hitos K, Hegde S, Al-Mashat A, Pathmanathan N, Edirimmane S, et al. Concordance between core needle biopsy and surgical excision for breast cancer tumor grade and biomarkers. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2022;193(1):151-9.
- 15.Saghir H, Veerla S, Malmberg M, Rydén L, Ehinger A, Saal LH, et al. How Reliable Are Gene Expression-Based and Immunohistochemical Biomarkers Assessed on a Core-Needle Biopsy? A Study of Paired Core-Needle Biopsies and Surgical Specimens in Early Breast Cancer. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14(16):4000.

[Downloaded from jabs.fums.ac.ir on 2025-07-03]