



Article Type:

Editorial

Moral Intelligence: A Neglected Factor for Quality and Accountability in Emergency Medical Services

Mostafa Bijani  

Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, School of Nursing, Fasa University of Medical Sciences, Fasa, Iran

Moral intelligence, defined as the acquired capacity to recognize ethical imperatives, internalize ethical commitments, and consistently implement them in practice, has emerged as a critical yet underappreciated dimension of clinical competence. Unlike technical expertise, which is often prominent in emergency medical services (EMS), moral intelligence subtly shapes how clinicians interpret ethically charged situations, navigate competing demands, and maintain professional integrity in conditions of uncertainty. It is not merely a personal disposition; rather, it constitutes a foundational cognitive-behavioral competency that determines the quality, safety, and legitimacy of care.

This competency is especially vital in prehospital emergency settings, where EMS professionals routinely confront highly acute events, operational constraints, and complex ethical decisions that must be made within extremely limited time frames. Ethical tensions, ranging from triage decisions in mass-casualty incidents to resolving tensions between patient autonomy and clinical urgency, are inherent features of the EMS environment. In such circumstances, moral intelligence enables healthcare providers to discern the appropriate

moral perspective, regulate emotional reactivity, and act with principled judgment despite situational pressures. A growing body of evidence indicates that deficits in moral intelligence can contribute to moral distress, inconsistency in care delivery, and erosion of public trust, outcomes that carry significant system-level implications.

Recent empirical research has conceptualized moral intelligence in EMS as a dynamic interplay of emotional composure, moral reasoning, and moral courage, all of which are essential for effectively supporting vulnerable patients in unpredictable and often volatile contexts. This framework advances moral intelligence from a theoretical construct to a practical competency that can be assessed, cultivated, and integrated into workforce development strategies.

Given its far-reaching implications, moral intelligence should be deliberately embedded in EMS training curricula, professional assessment standards, and organizational culture. Leadership structures should cultivate environments that promote ethical reflection, allocate protected time for structured debriefing, and reinforce accountability without fostering a punitive climate. Investing in the ethical development of EMS personnel is not a peripheral educational

Cite this article: Bijani M. Moral Intelligence: A Neglected Factor for Quality and Accountability in Emergency Medical Services. *J Adv Biomed Sci.* 2026; 16(1): 1-2.

 **Corresponding Author:** Mostafa Bijani, Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, School of Nursing, Fasa University of Medical Sciences, Fasa, Iran.

Email: bijani.m@fums.ac.ir





enhancement; it is a strategic imperative for improving care quality, mitigating ethical harm, and strengthening societal trust in emergency medical systems.

As EMS systems worldwide confront rising demand, increasing operational complexity, and heightened public expectations, the cultivation of ethical intelligence represents a powerful yet underutilized mechanism for enhancing ethical performance and professional resilience. It is therefore essential for EMS organizations, educators, and policymakers to recognize moral



intelligence not as an optional enrichment but as a core component of competent emergency care.

References

- 1 Mohammadi F, Salimi R, Khazaei S, Bijani M. Design and psychometric testing of a moral intelligence instrument for pre-hospital emergency medical services personnel: a sequential-exploratory mixed-method study. *BMC Emerg Med.* 2025; 25(1):13.
- 2 Oztürk H, Sayligil O, Yıldız Z. New concept in clinical care: proposal of an ethical intelligence scale. *Acta Bioethical.* 2019;25(2):265–81.